



Financial and Performance Audits
2002 Measure G Fund
June 30, 2022

East Side Union High School District

Financial Audit

Independent Auditor’s Report 1

Financial Statements

Balance Sheet 4

Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balance..... 5

Notes to Financial Statements 6

Independent Auditor’s Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* 10

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs

Financial Statement Findings 12

Summary Schedule of Prior Audit Findings..... 13

Performance Audit

Independent Auditor’s Report on Performance 14

Authority for Issuance..... 15

Purpose of Issuance 15

Authority for the Audit 16

Objectives of the Audit 16

Scope of the Audit..... 16

Methodology..... 17

Conclusion..... 17

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs 18



Financial Audit
2002 Measure G Fund
June 30, 2022

East Side Union High School District



Independent Auditor's Report

Governing Board and Citizens' Bond Oversight Committee
East Side Union High School District
San Jose, California

Report on the Audit of the Financial Statements

Opinion

We have audited the financial statements of the 2002 Measure G Fund of the East Side Union High School District (the District), as of and for the year ended June 30, 2022, and the related notes to the financial statements, as listed in the table of contents.

In our opinion, the accompanying financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the 2002 Measure G Fund of the East Side Union High School District, as of June 30, 2022, and the changes in its financial position for the year then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Basis for Opinion

We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAS) and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States (*Government Auditing Standards*). Our responsibilities under those standards are further described in the Auditor's Responsibilities for the Audit of the Financial Statements section of our report. We are required to be independent of the District, and to meet our other ethical responsibilities, in accordance with the relevant ethical requirements relating to our audit. We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinion.

Emphasis of Matter

As discussed in Note 1, the financial statements present only Building Fund specific to 2002 Measure G, and do not purport to, and do not, present fairly the financial position of the District as of June 30, 2022, the changes in its financial position for the year then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Our opinion is not modified with respect to this matter.

Responsibilities of Management for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, and for the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

Auditor's Responsibilities for the Audit of the Financial Statements

Our objectives are to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements as a whole are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error, and to issue an auditor's report that includes our opinion. Reasonable assurance is a high level of assurance but is not absolute assurance and therefore is not a guarantee that an audit conducted in accordance with GAAS and *Government Auditing Standards* will always detect a material misstatement when it exists. The risk of not detecting a material misstatement resulting from fraud is higher than for one resulting from error, as fraud may involve collusion, forgery, intentional omissions, misrepresentations, or the override of internal control. Misstatements are considered material if there is a substantial likelihood that, individually or in the aggregate, they would influence the judgment made by a reasonable user based on the financial statements.

In performing an audit in accordance with GAAS and *Government Auditing Standards*, we:

- Exercise professional judgment and maintain professional skepticism throughout the audit.
- Identify and assess the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error, and design and perform audit procedures responsive to those risks. Such procedures include examining, on a test basis, evidence regarding the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements.
- Obtain an understanding of internal control relevant to the audit in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the District's internal control. Accordingly, no such opinion is expressed.
- Evaluate the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluate the overall presentation of the financial statements.

We are required to communicate with those charged with governance regarding, among other matters, the planned scope and timing of the audit, significant audit findings, and certain internal control-related matters that we identified during the audit.

Other Reporting Required by *Government Auditing Standards*

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have also issued our report dated December 9, 2022 on our consideration of the 2002 Measure G Fund of the District's internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the 2002 Measure G Fund of the District's internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the 2002 Measure G Fund of the District's internal control over financial reporting and compliance.

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Eide Bailly LLP". The signature is written in a cursive, flowing style.

Menlo Park California
December 9, 2022

East Side Union High School District
2002 Measure G Fund
Balance Sheet
June 30, 2022

Assets	
Deposits and investments	\$ 2,327,369
Interest receivable	<u>6,560</u>
Total assets	<u>\$ 2,333,929</u>
Liabilities and Fund Balance	
Liabilities	
Vendor payable	\$ 109,285
Fund Balance	
Restricted for capital projects	<u>2,224,644</u>
Total liabilities and fund balance	<u>\$ 2,333,929</u>

See Notes to Financial Statements

East Side Union High School District
 2002 Measure G Fund
 Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balance
 Year Ended June 30, 2022

Revenues	
Investment income	\$ 27,078
All other income	656
Net decrease in the fair value of investments	<u>(61,229)</u>
Total revenues	<u>(33,495)</u>
Expenditures	
Current expenditures	
Classified salaries	100,152
Employees benefits	56,375
Supplies	35,663
Services and other operating expenses	53,671
Capital outlay	<u>1,625,738</u>
Total expenditures	<u>1,871,599</u>
Net Change in Fund Balance	(1,905,094)
Fund Balance, Beginning of Year	<u>4,129,738</u>
Fund Balance, End of Year	<u><u>\$ 2,224,644</u></u>

Note 1 - Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

The accounting policies of the 2002 Measure G Fund (the Fund) of the East Side Union High School District (the District) conform to accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America as prescribed by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB).

Financial Reporting Entity

The financial statements include the financial activity of the Fund only. The Fund was established to account for the expenditures of general obligation bonds issued under the Measure G Election of 2002 (the Measure). These financial statements are not intended to present the financial position and results of operations of the District as a whole, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. The authorized issuance amount of the bonds is \$298,000,000. The schedule below summarizes the bond issuance dates and amounts:

Issued Date	Series	Issued Amount
07/09/02	Series A	\$ 30,000,000
04/03/03	Series B	30,000,000
07/28/04	Series C	50,000,000
06/02/05	Series D	70,000,000
06/16/05	Series E	29,999,530
07/11/06	Series F	50,000,000
08/15/07	Series G	19,997,739
12/04/08	Series H	18,000,000
		\$ 297,997,269

The general obligation bonds mature through the 2036 fiscal year. Repayment of the bonds will be funded by a separate property tax override levied on property residing within the District boundaries. Property tax revenues will be collected and disbursed out of a separate Bond Interest and Redemption Fund accounted for by the Santa Clara County Controller’s Office. This fund is not included as part of these financial statements. General school district revenues will not be required to fund the debt service on the bonds. For more information, please see the District’s financial statements.

Fund Accounting

The operations of the Fund are accounted for in a separate set of self-balancing accounts that comprise its assets, liabilities, fund balance, revenues, and expenditures. Resources are allocated to and accounted for in the Fund based upon the purpose for which they are to be spent and the means by which spending activities are controlled.

Basis of Accounting

The financial statements of the Fund are accounted for using the flow of current financial resources measurement focus and the modified accrual basis of accounting. With this measurement focus, only current assets and current liabilities are included in the balance sheet. The statement of revenues, expenditures, and changes in fund balance reports on the sources (revenues and other financing sources) and uses (expenditures and other financing uses) of current financial resources.

Spending Order Policy

When an expenditure is incurred for purposes for which both restricted and unrestricted fund balance is available, the District considers restricted funds to have been spent first. When an expenditure is incurred for which committed, assigned, or unassigned fund balances are available, the District considers amounts to have been spent first out of committed funds, then assigned funds, and finally unassigned funds, as needed, unless the Governing Board has provided otherwise in its commitment or assignment actions.

Fund Balance – 2002 Measure G Fund

As of June 30, 2022, the fund balance is classified as follows:

Restricted - Restricted funds can only be spent for specific purposes because of constitutional provisions or enabling legislation or because of constraints that are externally imposed by creditors, grantors, contributors, or the laws or regulations of other governments.

Estimates

The preparation of the financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in the financial statements and accompanying notes. Actual results may differ from those estimates.

Revenues –Non-Exchange Transactions

Non-exchange transactions, in which the District receives value without directly giving equal value in return, include property taxes, certain grants, entitlements, and donations. Revenue from property taxes is recognized in the fiscal year in which the taxes are received. Revenue from certain grants, entitlements, and donations is recognized in the fiscal year in which all eligibility requirements have been satisfied. Eligibility requirements include time and purpose restrictions. On a modified accrual basis, revenue from non-exchange transactions must also be available before it can be recognized.

Unearned Revenue

Unearned revenues arise when resources are received by the District before it has a legal claim to them, such as when certain grants are received prior to the occurrence of qualifying expenditures. In the subsequent periods, when the District has a legal claim to the resources, the liability for unearned revenue is removed from the balance sheet and the revenue is recognized.

Note 2 - Investments**Investment in County Treasury**

The District, alongside with the Fund, is considered to be an involuntary participant in an external investment pool as the District is required to deposit all receipts and collections of monies with their County Treasurer (*Education Code* Section 41001). The fair value of the District's investment in the pool is reported in the accounting financial statement at amounts based upon the District's pro-rata share of the fair value provided by the County Treasurer for the entire portfolio (in relation to the amortized cost of that portfolio). The balance available for withdrawal is based on the accounting records maintained by the County Treasurer, which is recorded on the amortized cost basis.

The District has deposited all the Fund's investment in the Santa Clara County Treasury. As of June 30, 2022, the investment has a market value of \$2,327,369.

Interest Rate Risk

Interest rate risk is the risk that changes in market interest rates will adversely affect the fair value of an investment. The Fund manages its exposure to interest rate risk by depositing substantially all of its cash in the County Pool. The weighted average maturity of the Pool is 738 days, as reported by the Santa Clara County Treasurer.

Credit Risk

Credit risk is the risk that an issuer of an investment will not fulfill its obligation to the holder of the investment. This is measured by the assignment of a rating by a nationally recognized statistical rating organization. The District's investment in the County Pool is not required to be rated, nor has it been rated as of June 30, 2022.

Fair Value Measurements

The District categorizes the fair value measurements of its investments based on the hierarchy established by generally accepted accounting principles. The fair value hierarchy, which has three levels, is based on the valuation inputs used to measure an asset's fair value. Investment in the county treasury investment pool is not measured using the input levels because the participant's transactions are uncategorized. All contributions and redemptions are transacted at fair value measurements.

Note 3 - Commitments and Contingencies

Litigation

The Fund is involved in various litigation arising from the normal course of business. In the opinion of management and legal counsel, the disposition of all litigation pending is not expected to have a material adverse effect on the overall financial position of the Fund as of June 30, 2022.

Construction Commitments

As of June 30, 2022, the District had construction commitments which are partially funded by the 2002 Measure G Fund as follows:

<u>Capital Project</u>	<u>Remaining Construction Commitment</u>	<u>Expected Date of Completion</u>
District Program Cost	\$ 22,854	6/30/2023
Andrew Hill - Student Union Building with Performing Arts	234,339	6/30/2023
Mount Pleasant - Site Improvements	5,253	6/30/2023
Oak Grove - Mechanical and Electrical Upgrades	351,227	6/30/2023
Oak Grove - Site Improvements	64,999	6/30/2023
	<u>\$ 678,672</u>	



Independent Auditor's Report
2002 Measure G Fund
June 30, 2022

East Side Union High School District



**Independent Auditor’s Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on
Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed
in Accordance with *Government Auditing Standards***

Governing Board and Citizens’ Bond Oversight Committee
East Side Union High School District
San Jose, California

We have audited, in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the 2002 Measure G Fund of the East Side Union High School District’s (District) as of and for the year ended June 30, 2022, and the related notes of the financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated December 9, 2022.

Emphasis of Matter

As discussed in Note 1, the financial statements of the Building Fund specific to 2002 Measure G are intended to present the financial position and the changes in financial position attributable to the transactions of that Fund. They do not purport to, and do not, present fairly the financial position of the District as of June 30, 2022, and the changes in financial position for the year then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Our opinion is not modified with respect to this matter.

Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered the District’s internal control over financial reporting (internal control) as a basis for designing the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the District’s internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the District’s internal control.

A deficiency in internal control exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A *material weakness* is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the 2002 Measure G of the District’s financial statements will not be prevented or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or, significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audit, we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

Report on Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the 2002 Measure G of the District's financial statements are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the financial statements. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

Purpose of this Report

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the entity's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Eide Bailly LLP".

Menlo Park California
December 9, 2022

None reported.

There were no audit findings reported in the prior year's Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs.



Performance Audit
2002 Measure G Fund
June 30, 2022

East Side Union High School District



Independent Auditor's Report on Performance

Governing Board and Citizens' Bond Oversight Committee
East Side Union High School District
San Jose, California

We were engaged to conduct a performance audit of the 2002 Measure G Fund of the East Side Union High School District's (the District) for the year ended June 30, 2022.

We conducted this performance audit in accordance with generally accepted government auditing standards. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain sufficient, appropriate evidence to provide a reasonable basis for our findings and conclusions based on our audit objectives. We believe that the evidence obtained provides a reasonable basis for our findings and conclusions based on our audit objectives.

Our audit was limited to the objectives listed within the report which includes determining the District's compliance with the performance requirements as referred to in Proposition 39 and outlined in Article XIII A, Section 1(b)(3)(C) of the California Constitution and Appendix A contained in the *2021-2022 Guide for Annual Audits of K-12 Local Education Agencies and State Compliance Reporting* issued by the California Education Audit Appeals Panel. Management is responsible for the District's compliance with those requirements.

In planning and performing our performance audit, we obtained an understanding of the District's internal control in order to determine if the internal controls were adequate to help ensure the District's compliance with the requirements of Proposition 39 and outlined in Article XIII A, Section 1(b)(3)(C) of the California Constitution, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion of the effectiveness of the District's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the District's internal control. Had we performed additional procedures, other matters might have come to our attention that would have been reported to you.

The results of our tests indicated that the District expended 2002 Measure G Funds only for the specific projects approved by the voters in accordance with Proposition 39, and outlined in Article XIII A, Section 1(b)(3)(C) of the California Constitution.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the District, Governing Board, and Citizens Oversight Committee, and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Eide Bailly LLP".

Menlo Park, California
December 9, 2022

Authority for Issuance

The 2002 Measure G Bonds (the Measure) are issued pursuant to the Constitution and laws of the State of California (the State), including the provisions of Chapters 1 and 1.5 of Part 10 of the California Education Code, and other applicable provisions of law.

The general obligation bonds were authorized by an election of the registered voters of the East Side Union High School District held on March 5, 2002. The authorized issuance amount of the bonds is \$298,000,000. The schedule below summarizes bond issuance dates and amounts:

<u>Issued Date</u>	<u>Series</u>	<u>Issued Amount</u>
07/09/02	Series A	\$ 30,000,000
04/03/03	Series B	30,000,000
07/28/04	Series C	50,000,000
06/02/05	Series D	70,000,000
06/16/05	Series E	29,999,530
07/11/06	Series F	50,000,000
08/15/07	Series G	19,997,739
12/04/08	Series H	<u>18,000,000</u>
		<u>\$ 297,997,269</u>

Purpose of Issuance

The net proceeds of the bonds issued under the authorization will be used for the purposes specified in the measure submitted at the 2002 election, which include upgrading classrooms and libraries, providing safety improvements, modernizing lighting, roofs, windows, and plumbing and technology upgrades and removing hazardous materials.

Per the Measure, the scope of bond proceeds is “To provide greater security and safety, relieve overcrowding, and improve technology at the following schools: Andrew Hill, Independence, James Lick, Mount Pleasant, Piedmont Hills, Oak Grove, Santa Teresa, Silver Creek, William C. Overfelt, Yerba Buena, Foothill, Alternative Schools, and the Adult Education Centers, shall the East Side Union High School District issue \$298 million of bonds within legal interest rate limits to repair, build, and equip its facilities provided the spending such funds is reviewed by an independent citizen oversight committee”.

Authority for the Audit

On November 7, 2000, California voters approved Proposition 39, the Smaller Classes, Safer Schools and Financial Accountability Act which amended portions of the California Constitution to provide for the issuance of general obligation bonds by school districts, community college districts, or county offices of education, “for the construction, reconstruction, rehabilitation, or replacement of school facilities, including the furnishing and equipping of school facilities, or the acquisition or lease of rental property for school facilities”, upon approval by 55% of the electorate. In addition to reducing the approval threshold from two-thirds to 55%, Proposition 39 and the enacting legislation (Assembly Bills 1908 and 2659) requires the following accountability measures as codified in *Education Code* sections 15278-15282:

1. Requires that the proceeds from the sale of the bonds be used only for the purposes specified in Article XIII A, Section 1(b)(3)(C) of the California Constitution, and not for any other purpose, including teacher and administrator salaries and other school operating expenses.
2. The school district must list the specific school facilities projects to be funded in the ballot measure and must certify that the governing board has evaluated safety, class size reduction and information technology needs in developing the project list.
3. Requires the school district to appoint a citizens’ oversight committee.
4. Requires the school district to conduct an annual independent financial audit and performance audit in accordance with the Government Auditing Standards issued by the Comptroller General of the United States of the bond proceeds until all of the proceeds have been expended.
5. Requires the school district to conduct an annual independent performance audit to ensure that the funds have been expended only on the specific projects listed.

Objectives of the Audit

1. Determine whether expenditures charged to the Fund have been made in accordance with the bond project list approved by the voters through the approval of the 2002 Measure G.
2. Determine whether salary transactions charged to the Fund were in support of the 2002 Measure G and not for District general administration or operations.

Scope of the Audit

The scope of our performance audit covered the period of July 1, 2021 to June 30, 2022. The population of expenditures tested included all object and project codes associated with the bond projects. The propriety of expenditures for capital projects and maintenance projects funded through other State or local funding sources, other than proceeds of the bonds, were not included within the scope of the audit. Expenditures incurred subsequent to June 30, 2022 were not reviewed or included within the scope of our audit or in this report.

Methodology

We obtained the general ledger and the project expenditure reports prepared by the District for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2022 for the 2002 Measure G Fund. Within the fiscal year audited, we obtained the actual invoices, purchase orders, and other supporting documentation for a sample of expenditures to ensure compliance with the requirements of Article XIII A, Section 1(b)(3)(C) of the California Constitution and the 2002 Measure G as to the approved bond projects list. We performed the following procedures:

1. We identified expenditures and projects charged to the general obligation bond proceeds by obtaining the general ledger and project listing.
2. We selected a sample of expenditures using the following criteria:
 - a. We considered all expenditures recorded in all object codes.
 - b. We considered all expenditures recorded in all projects that were funded from July 1, 2021 through June 30, 2022 from the 2002 Measure G bond proceeds.
 - c. We selected all expenditures that were individually significant expenditures. Individually significant expenditures were identified based on our assessment of materiality.
 - d. For all items below the individually significant threshold identified in item 2c, judgmentally selected expenditures based on risk assessment and consideration of coverage of all object codes, and projects for period starting July 1, 2021 and ending June 30, 2022.
3. Our sample included transactions totaling \$1,662,457. This represents 89% of the total expenditures of \$1,871,599.
4. We reviewed the actual invoices and other supporting documentation to determine that:
 - a. Expenditures were supported by invoices with evidence of proper approval and documentation of receipting goods or services.
 - b. Expenditures were supported by proper bid documentation, as applicable.
 - c. Expenditures were expended in accordance with voter-approved bond project list.
 - d. Bond proceeds were not used for salaries of school administrators or other operating expenses of the District.
5. We determined that the District has met the compliance requirement of 2002 Measure G if the following conditions were met:
 - a. Supporting documents for expenditures were aligned with the voter-approved bond project list.
 - b. Supporting documents for expenditures were not used for salaries of school administrators or other operating expenses of the District.

Conclusion

The results of our tests indicated that, in all significant respects, the District has properly accounted for the expenditures held in the 2002 Measure G Fund, and that such expenditures were made for authorized bond projects. Further, it was noted funds held in the 2002 Measure G Fund and expended by the District were used for salaries only to the extent they perform administrative oversight work on construction projects as allowable per Opinion 04-110 issued on November 9, 2004 by the State of California Attorney General.

None reported.